Case 5:06-cv-05242-JF Document 18 Filed 12/05/06 Page 1 of 6

1	DARRYL P. RAINS (State Bar No. 104802) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
2	755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018	
3	Telephone: (650) 813-5600 Facsimile: (650) 494-0792	
4	Email: DRains@mofo.com	
5	DIANE E. PRITCHARD (State Bar No. 96999 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP)
6	425 Market Street	
7	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	
8	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522 Email: DPritchard@mofo.com	
9	Attorneys for Defendants	
10	TIMOTHY K. MONTGOMERY, WILLIAM J. TAMBLYN, GREGORY M. AVIS, WILLIAM A. HASLER, ANDREI M. MANOLIU, and DITECH NETWORKS, INC.	
11		
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
16		
17	In re DITECH NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	Case No. C 06-05157 JF
18		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
19	This Document Relates To: All Actions	(1) RELATING AND CONSOLIDATING RELATED
20	This Document Relates To. 7111 Actions	ACTIONS, (2) APPOINTING CO- LEAD PLAINTIFF AND CO-
21		LEAD PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL, AND (3) RE-SETTING CASE
22		MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
23		
24	WHEREAS two actions were filed in the	is Court, Donald W. Newman, Derivatively on
25	Behalf of Nominal Defendant Ditech Networks,	Inc. v. Timothy K. Montgomery, et al. (Case No.
26	C 06-05157 JF) ("Newman") and James McKenna, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant	
27	Ditech Networks, Inc. v. Timothy K. Montgome	ry, et al. (Case No. C 06-05242 JF) ("McKenna"),
28		
	STIPULATION TO RELATE AND CONSOLIDATE ACTIONS AT CASE NO. C 06-05157 JF sf-2232553	ND RE-SET CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

1	which purport to be derivative actions brought on behalf of Ditech Networks, Inc. ("Ditech")
2	against various individuals alleging that those defendants engaged in the improper backdating of
3	certain stock option grants during the period 1999 through 2001; and
4	WHEREAS the Newman and McKenna actions already have been (i) related pursuant to
5	this Court's orders entered October 2, 2006 in those actions, and (ii) consolidated as In re Ditech
6	Networks, Inc. Derivative Litigation (Case No. C 06-05157 JF), pursuant to this Court's order
7	entered November 7, 2006, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A ("Consolidation
8	Order"); and
9	WHEREAS the Consolidation Order provides that "[a]ny other actions now pending or
10	later filed in this Court which arise out of or are related to the same facts as alleged in [Newman
11	and McKenna] shall be consolidated for all purposes, if and when they are brought to the Court's
12	attention" (Exh. A at ¶ 2); and
13	WHEREAS a new action, entitled Kenneth Lau, Derivatively on Behalf of Ditech
14	Networks, Inc. v. Timothy K. Montgomery, et al. (Case No. C 06-6877 PVT) ("Lau"), has been
15	filed in this Court; and
16	WHEREAS the Lau complaint also purports to be brought derivatively on behalf of
17	Ditech, against many of the same defendants as the <i>Newman</i> and <i>McKenna</i> cases, and seeks relief
18	on behalf of the company arising out of alleged improper backdating of stock option grants since
19	1999; and
20	WHEREAS Lau arises out of or is related to the same facts as Newman and McKenna (see
21	Exh. A at ¶ 2), the actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event,
22	and it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense if
23	the cases are conducted before different judges (see Civil L. R. 3-12(a)); and
24	WHEREAS Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, Counsel for plaintiff
25	Kenneth Lau, and Schiffrin & Barroway, Counsel for plaintiffs Donald W. Newman and James
26	McKenna, have agreed to a structure by which Lau, Newman and McKenna will be Lead
27	Plaintiffs, with their counsel Lerach Coughlin and Schiffrin & Barroway serving as Co-Lead
28	Counsel in this action; and

1	
2	app
3	Ler
4	Lea
5	
6	con
7	the
8	
9	by
10	ser
11	(see
12	
13	effe
14	bee
15	
16	Ne
17	res
18	
19	Dit
20	Coı
21	Coı
22	

WHEREAS nominal defendant Ditech Networks, Inc. takes no position as to the appointment of Kenneth Lau, Donald W. Newman and James McKenna as Lead Plaintiffs and Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP and Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP as Co-Lead Counsel; and

WHEREAS a case management conference date set in one of the two originally consolidated actions was vacated, but a case management conference set for December 1, 2006 in the other action was not vacated; and

WHEREAS the Consolidation Order provides for the filing of a Consolidated Complaint by December 22, 2006, with a response to the Consolidated Complaint due 45 days after the service of the Consolidated Complaint, and sets out a briefing schedule for any motion to dismiss (*see* Exh. A at ¶¶ 16-19); and

WHEREAS the parties believe it would serve the interests of judicial efficiency and effective case management to conduct the case management conference after the *Lau* action has been consolidated in this proceeding,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among plaintiff Donald W. Newman, plaintiff James McKenna, plaintiff Kenneth Lau, and defendant Ditech, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

- 1. The *Lau* action should be related to and consolidated for all purposes with *In re Ditech Networks, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, Case No. C 06-05157 JF, pursuant to the Court's Consolidation Order, and all pleadings in *Lau* shall be filed in conformity with the Court's Consolidation Order.
- 2. A Consolidated Complaint including the *Newman*, *McKenna* and *Lau* claims, which will supersede all existing complaints, including the *Lau* complaint, shall be filed and served no later than December 22, 2006.
- 3. The case management conference previously set in one of the consolidated actions for December 1, 2006 at 10:30 a.m. should be re-set in the consolidated actions for February 23, 2007 at 10:30 a.m., in the Courtroom of the Honorable Jeremy Fogel.

28

23

24

25

26

27

Case 5:06-cv-05242-JF Document 18 Filed 12/05/06 Page 4 of 6

1 2	Dated: November 28, 2006	SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY LLP ERIC L. ZAGAR SANDRA G. SMITH
3		Proposed Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
4		- and -
5		BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP
6 7		ALAN R. PLUTZIK L. TIMOTHY FISHER KATHRYN A. SCHOFIELD
8		Counsel for Plaintiffs Donald W. Newman and James McKenna
9		
10		Bys/ Sandra G. Smith
11		
12	Dated: November 28, 2006	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
13		WILLIAMS S. LERACH DARREN J. ROBBINS
14		TRAVIS E. DOWNS III SHAWN A. WILLIAMS
15		Proposed Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
16		- and -
17 18		SHUMAN & BERENS LLP KIP B. SHUMAN
19		Counsel for Plaintiff Kenneth Lau
20		Counsel for Flamium Kenneth Lau
21		Bys/ Aelish Baig
22		By
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20	CTIDIU ATION TO DELATE AND CONOCATOR	DE ACTIONS AND DE CET CASE MANAGEMENTS COMPEDENCE

Case 5:06-cv-05242-JF Document 18 Filed 12/05/06 Page 5 of 6

1 2	Dated: November 28, 2006	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP DARRYL P. RAINS
		DIANE E. PRITCHARD
3		
4		By <u>s/ Diane E. Pritchard</u> Diane E. Pritchard
5		Counsel for Defendants DITECH
6		NETWORKS, INC., TIMOTHY K. MONTGOMERY, WILLIAM J. TAMBLYN, GREGORY M. AVIS,
7		WILLIAM A. HASLER, and ANDREI M. MANOLIU
8		WINGLIO
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 5:06-cv-05242-JF Document 18 Filed 12/05/06 Page 6 of 6

1	I, Diane E. Pritchard, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this		
2	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order (1) Relating and Consolidating Related Actions, (2) Appointing		
3	Co-Lead Plaintiff and Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel, and (3) Re-Setting Case Management		
4	Conference. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Sandra G. Smith and		
5			
6	Aelish Baig, attorneys for plaintiffs, have concurred in this filing.		
7			
8	Dated: November 28, 2006 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
9	DARRYL P. RAINS DIANE E. PRITCHARD		
10			
11	Bys/ Diane E. Pritchard		
12	Diane E. Pritchard Counsel for Defendants DITECH		
13	NETWORKS, INC., TIMOTHY K. MONTGOMERY, WILLIAM J. TAMPLYN, GREGORY M. AVIS		
14	TAMBLYN, GREGORY M. AVIS, WILLIAM A. HASLER, and ANDREI M.		
15	MANOLIU		
16			
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
18	Dated:		
19	Honorable Jeremy Fogel		
20	United States D strict Judge		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			